Project-ID:	FR-24048		
Project Developer:	BambooPro Srl		
Type of project:	☒ Mono-site ☐ Multi-site	N. Sites part of the Project:	1
Location of the Intervention:	Nation: FRANCE Region: PAYS DE LA LOIRE City and Province: SAINT-AUBIN-DES-ORMEAUX - VENDÈE Street address and number: LA HOCQUELOIRE Cadastral data – SAINT-AUBIN-DES-ORMEAUX – F 000 A – P 1054 Geo-located location: 47°00'03"N 1°02'15"W		
Useful surface area of the project (Ha,00):	2,00		

Auditor:

Funzione	Nome
Technical expert	Artegiani Ruben

Documents evaluated:

Project Approval Application form	
Annexes to the Application	As in point 3 of the Application form

Evaluation checklist:

Aspect evaluated	Result	Findings/Notes NC – OS – NT (*)
1. All 58 items in the Application are completed?	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
2. In particular, the following points were developed and addressed: 14, 15, 16, 19, 25, 30, 31, 32, 33, 40, 41, 44, 48, 49, 50, 55, 56?	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
3. Is the content relevant to the point to which they report?	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
4. Have stakeholders been identified and involved? (item 14 and 15)	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
5. Has the baseline scenario been defined? (item 19)	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
6. Has the risk of Plantation Reversal been considered? (item 40)	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
7. Is explicit reference made in items 41-42 to compliance with the principle of “No net harm”?	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
8. Has the risk of Emission Leakage been considered? (item 49)	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
9. Based on what is stated in item 50, is the principle of Additionality respected?	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
10. An ex-post monitoring of the plantation was carried out according to the requirements of UNI/Pdr 156 Appendix C point C (Photographic update).	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
11. Is the duration of the project at least 20 years and not more than 50?	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
12. Has item 48 been filled out in all its entries?	☒ YES - ☐ NO	
13. Are the numbers given in item 48 consistent with the calculations in the spreadsheet “Calculator” All.Q 8.4-1?	☒ YES - ☐ NO	

(*) LEGEND: NC: Nonconformity OS: Observation NT: Note
See Pro.Q 10.1 for definitions.

Having evaluated the Project documentation according to the criteria in Ist.Q 8.1-2, taking in account the outcome of the evaluation and the notes above, the Auditor makes the following comment:

1. None

Date:	03/09/2024	Signature: 
	Ruben Artegiani	